

SACHEEN LAKE WATER & SEWER DISTRICT

May 6, 2015

Location: Sacheen Fire Station

Commissioner Johnsen called the meeting to order at 3:00 pm with the pledge. Commissioners Pearman and Garrett were in attendance as well as DM/Pearman, and Kevin Koesel from Sewell Engineering. NOTE: C/Johnsen was not in attendance.

C/Garrett reviewed the meeting agenda.

C/Garrett MM to approve the minutes of April 8 meeting, C/Pearman seconded and the motion was unanimously approved.

ENGINEERING REPORT/PROJECT UPDATE: Kevin Koesel

Construction Progress Update

Bid Schedule A

- Fabric from the underdrain has been laid in all 3 cells
- 95% complete grading and shaping cell 3
- Poured thrust blocks on both ends of chlorine contact pipe
- Dikes have been back filled in the wet well and where the cut was made for underdrain outflow piping
- 12,000 T of bedding sand has been delivered for under the liners
- Schedule A is approximately 62% complete

Bid Schedule B

- All the mainline from the backside of the lake through Fertile Valley Road to the lift station is complete with 50% of it having been pressure tested.
- B crew is now on East Shore working toward the Terrace
- Fencing and slats have been installed at the lift station
- Schedule B is approximately 89% complete

Bid Schedule C

- Pumps and service lines are nearly all complete on Fertile Valley Road
- Going back to those that needed drilling or special attention and installing pumps and service lines where can.
- Some services will not be installed until they can immediately be converted to our system due to logistics of the pumps/tanks
- Schedule C is approximately 64% complete

Construction Schedule Upcoming

Bid Schedule A

- Installation of electrical trenching on top of lagoon dikes and then finish grading on dike tops
- Will begin installation of bedding sand next week and will continue for the next several weeks

Bid Schedule B

- Complete mainline through East Shore and possibly all the way to the Terrace
- Waterproofing the lift station. When that is done it will be ready to accept sewage

Bid Schedule C

- Continue installing pump stations in the Terrace and finish work on service lines along Fertile Valley

Change Orders

-CO-9A is for sand that was delivered but rejected by contractor. It was offered to us at cost to mix with our native material that is marginal for backfilling the wet well. By using this we were able to keep moving forward.

C/Pearman MM to approve CO-9A in the amount of \$8,608.00. C/Garrett seconded the motion and the motion unanimously passed.

-CO-5C for service line extension to Lot 9 and stub to Lot 10 Sacheen Shores cost, \$13,390.82. 2/3 of the trench was rock excavation. This line has already been installed and previously discussed, but the contractor has not been paid.

C/Pearman MM to approve CO-5C in the amount of \$13,390.82, C/Johnsen seconded the motion. The motion was approved with C/Pearman & C/Garrett voting for and C/Johnsen voting against.

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS:

-J. Short asked if we have figured out a way to avoid mistakes like those on Sacheen Shores and the casing of the line near the well on Hwy 211. C/Garrett notes that these have been minor considering the scope of our project. The contractor has also been made aware.

-L. Holman asks if Andy Kolodrub has made his mind up to have service in L&H. We did have the contractor install the T and mainline valve but Mr. Kolodrub has not indicated whether he wants to go ahead.

-G. Janson asks who is responsible for not knowing there was water under the lagoon area. Kevin notes that we had Budinger & Assoc. dig nearly a dozen test holes and water was 4' below where it was found during construction. These findings were discussed with ECY prior to construction. What was found during construction was found to be different.

-J. Johnsen notes that if we had known it prior the underdrain would have been added to the bidding docs

-M. Cain asks if it is groundwater infiltration. Yes

-T. Cline asks if homeowners are being notified prior to work being done on properties. Steve has been trying to keep on top of it with phone calls and knocking on doors.

She also asks if some people have had to pay extra for their onsite improvements. Not if installation is within parameters of bid docs.

-G. Janson requests that all his site work be put back as soon as possible as he is putting his property on the market.

-M. Unger questions why the septic tank lids need to be crushed. State regulations required that abandoned systems be made safe by removing the void.

-K. Symbol asks if he can again see his lot map

-K. White asks what the plan is for outhouses. She feels Tri-County Health will not do anything. Should they be decommissioned just like the septic tanks?

Mt. View Estates

-Meeting was held on April 18 to discuss the possibility of extending service to that area.

-3 Options

1. Do nothing
2. Extend it all the way through Mt View and Mt. View 1st Add. (Cost \$900K)
3. Serve only Mt. View and leave 1st Addition out. (Cost \$760K)

-Would likely treat it with the same approach as Phase I with allowing covenants.

-If the mainline goes by a dwelling, connection would need to meet District's connection policy

- Obstacle is easement across the Hutchinson property. The alternate route would likely out cost the project.
 - We will need to soon sit down and pin down covenant lots for determination
 - C/Garrett asks what their cost would be when adding the loan amount to Phase I's backbone cost. Kevin notes that for simplicity sake it would be best to add the Mt. View debt to Phase I and divide among all as though it had been one project from the get go. He sees it at still being about \$30K per lot.
 - ECY draft interest rate was 1.6% based on both subdivisions
 - C/Garrett notes that the project would need to be cost neutral to Phase I
 - C/Pearman notes that we need to think about whether we will accept the loan. He is in favor of serving Mt. View (without 1st Add.) contingent on getting a reasonable price for the easement.
- C/Pearman MM to tell ECY that we would like to accept their loan offer for extension to Mt. View Estates. C/Garrett seconded the motion and the motion was unanimously passed.

DISTRICT MANAGER'S REPORT:

- Salary Claims for February and March were presented for signature
- Hours for District Manager
- April 92.5
- General Fund
- 3 vouchers was presented for payment from the General Fund totaling \$349.29

15-10 Newport Miner	\$46.06
15-11 K&L Gates	\$283.50
15-12 Sheila Pearman	\$19.73

- 4 vouchers were presented for payment from the LID totaling \$ 382,064.03

LID 15-20	S&L Underground (Sch B)	\$ 64,257.36
LID 15-21	S&L Underground (Sch C)	\$ 102,328.11
LID 15-22	CNI (Sch A)	\$ 143,108.02
LID 15-18	JA Sewell	\$ 72,370.54

COMMUNICATIONS:

- Request from P.O. County to include a geo-caching site at the Park. Board felt it was interesting and thought it fine. Will make note of the seasonal access.
 - Met with Vision MS to discuss possibility of using their services for our billing system. They worked up a cost that seems to be reasonable, but question whether our small system can't be done with a smaller accounting program. Will check with Auditor's office for opinion.
 - Brad Wear has agreed to chair the committee regarding park restitution
 - DM/Pearman discussed the need for representation outside of K&L Gates for possible litigation with CNI regarding their claims. Recommendation was received for John R. Nelson. Discussion with him by C/Pearman and DM felt he would represent us well. DM presented terms of engagement.
- C/Pearman MM to sign agreement for services with Mr. Nelson. C/Garrett seconded the motion and the motion was unanimously approved.
- DM/Pearman noted she submitted policy renewal review for Enduris, our insurance carrier for 2016

Action Register review by C/Garrett

PUBLIC COMMENT/QUESTIONS:

- B. Hood asks what the timeline for system going online...likely end of July/August
- P. James asks if there is a lawsuit in the future would it cost us more? Enduris covers the board for errors and omissions.

-M. Unger questions what the “end game” for the milfoil. Will we be poisoning it every year and asks why the treatment is done the “hottest week of the year”. C/Pearman notes we are more than willing to look at options. Timing of treatments has to do with plant growth and applicators schedule
-L. Holman makes note that with sewer installation there will be less nutrients going in the lake and we will no longer be fertilizing the milfoil.

The next board meeting will be June 3 at 3 pm
Meeting was adjourned at 4:52

Sheila Pearman, District Manager